

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/347,845	09/30/1999	YI YANG	243/079	4401
7:	590 08/19/2003			
JAMES W. GERIAK ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 PARK PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			BUI, VY Q	
SUITE 1600 IRVINE, CA	92614-2558		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			3731 DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003	, _

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ec
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/347,845 YANG ET AL.		
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vy Q. Bui	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendme	application. A proper repleted in the application in application i	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing d			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	e later than SIX MONTHS from t	he mailing date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). To fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the correspon of the shortened statutory period office later than three months afte	ding amount of the fee. The app for reply originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	t's Brief must be filed withi FR 1.191(d)), to avoid disr	n the period set forth in nissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal l	by materially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ld be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has bee	en considered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme	ent(s) a)⊠ will not be enter	ed or b) will be entered	and an

My 8m 08/14/03

10. Other: ____

explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

Claim(s) allowed: ____.
Claim(s) objected to: ____.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-6, 8-15, 17-25.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendes raim 1 now recites "a central portion" and "said central portion consists essentially of a series of cylindrical bands ...", and amended claim 12 now recites "a central portion" and "said central portion consists essentially of a generally bat-shaped cells ..." do not really narrow the scope of the claims and do not clearly define the claimed invention over the prior art of reference. Further consideration and/or search is required to determine the patentability of the claims.